

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,935	11/26/2003	Myoung-Soo Kim	8836-224 (IB12207-US)	9638	
22150	7590 11/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD			VU, HUNG K		
WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2811		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,935	KIM, MYOUNG-SOO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung Vu	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	'S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu- NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u> August 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	·	•	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the altached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a point of the contract of	Application No n received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) 1) [\sumseteq] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) [_] Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) [\sumseteq] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/18/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 08/25/05 has been entered. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hsu et al. (PN 6,259,126).

Hsu et al. discloses, as shown in Figures 2a, 3a, 4a, 5l, and 5ll, a semiconductor device formed over a semiconductor substrate including a memory cell area and a peripheral circuit area, the semiconductor device comprising:

a MOS transistor (32,219) having a floating gate electrode, the MOS transistor being disposed at the memory cell area:

Art Unit: 2811

an OTP ROM capacitor having a lower electrode (54), an upper intermetal dielectric (58), and an upper electrode (60,218) with are stacked in the order name, the OTP ROM capacitor being disposed over the MOS transistor;

a floating gate plug (42) connecting the floating gate electrode with the lower electrode, wherein the floating gate electrode, the floating gate plug, and the lower electrode constitute a conductive structure which is electrically insulated from surrounding components.

Note that Hsu et al. discloses the same structure as claimed, therefore, it is inherent that the structure is the OTP ROM.

Regarding claim 2, Hsu et al. discloses the device further comprising a capacitor that is disposed in the peripheral circuit area and includes a lower capacitor electrode, a dielectric film, and an upper capacitor electrode which are stacked in the order named.

Regarding claim 3, Hsu et al. discloses the lower electrode and the upper electrode are identical to the lower capacitor electrode and the upper capacitor electrode in material and thickness.

Regarding claim 4, Hsu et al. discloses the upper intermetal dielectric and the dielectric film are identical in material and thickness.

Regarding claim 7, Hsu et al. discloses the device further comprising a lower intermetal dielectric (44, 211) formed below the upper intermetal dielectric.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 10, Hsu et al. discloses the device further comprising a contact plug (212) which is connected to an impurity region of the semiconductor substrate and is made of the same material as the floating gate plug.

Regarding claim 11, Hsu et al. discloses the device further comprising a bitline (203), the contact plug connecting the bitline to the impurity region of the semiconductor substrate.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Hsu et al. discloses the upper electrode comprises a first upper electrode (226) and a second upper electrode disposed over the first upper electrode.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al. (PN 6,259,126).

Hsu et al. discloses the claimed invention including the device as explained in the rejection above. Hsu et al. does not disclose the material of the upper intermetal dielectric layer. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Hsu et al. having the materials as that claimed by Applicant, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known

Art Unit: 2811

material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

4. Claims 6 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al. (PN 6,259,126) in view of Tu et al. (PN 6,602,749, of record).

Regarding claim 6, Hsu et al. does not disclose the upper intermetal dielectric layer is disposed over an entire surface of the substrate. However, Tu et al. discloses a device comprising an upper intermetal dielectric layer (28) disposed over an entire surface of a substrate. Note Figure 1 of Tu et al.. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Hsu et al. having the upper intermetal dielectric layer disposed over an entire surface of the substrate, such as taught by Tu et al. in order to further prevent the moisture from entering the active device.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Hsu et al. does not disclose the lower intermetal dielectric forms an opening and the upper electrode is formed in the opening. However, Tu et al. discloses the device having a lower intermetal dielectric (24) formed below an upper intermetal dielectric (28), wherein the lower intermetal dielectric forms an opening and the upper electrode is formed in the opening. Note Figure 1 of Tu et al.. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Seshadri et al. having a lower intermetal dielectric formed below the upper intermetal dielectric, wherein the lower intermetal dielectric forms an opening and the upper electrode is formed in the opening, such as taught by Tu et al. in order to further increase a surface coupling capacitor of the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,935

Art Unit: 2811

Page 6

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1666. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272 - 1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vu

Nov 10, 2005

Hung Vu

Hung Un

Primary Examiner